

REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 4-9 are pending in this application, of which claims 1 and 5 have been amended. Claim 3 has been cancelled. No new claims have been added.

Before turning to the cited references, a brief review of the claimed invention is in order.

Because the portable communication device of claim 1, as amended, comprises “transmitting means” for transmitting the location information and image data to the communication terminal device having the inputted identifying information when the transmitting key is manipulated, if a user carrying the portable communication device gets lost, the user inputs the identifying information of the communication terminal device of a party whom the user is scheduled to meet, and thereafter manipulates the send key to transmit the location information and image data to the communication terminal device of the party. The party whom the user is scheduled to meet can ascertain the present location of the user getting lost easily and accurately by these location information and image data. Thus, the user carrying the portable communication device of claim 1 can notify the party whom the user is scheduled to meet of the present location of the user.

The Examiner has maintained from the previous Office Action of June 30, 2004 the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection of claims 1-9 as anticipated by **Obradovich**.

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Obradovich discloses a location tagged data provision and display system. A personal communication device (PCD) with electromagnetic communication capability has a GPS

receiver and a display. The PCD requests maps and location tagged data from data providers and other for display on the PCD. The data providers respond to requests by using searching and sorting schemes to interrogate data bases and then automatically transmitting data responsive to the requests to the requesting PCD.

The Examiner has urged that column 23, lines 37-44 of **Obradovich** disclose converting image data obtained from photography by the image pickup device to a radio signal and transmitting the radio signal to the communication terminal device having the inputted identifying information via a switching office of the telephone line network, when the transmitting key is manipulated.

However, column 23, lines 37-44 disclose:

The image taken using the PCD camera, along with the appropriate GPS stamp, is provided by the cellular communication capability, included with the device, to a central computer storage system. The central computer storage system then makes the CRD device data available, in the manner as previously described, to those requesting condition reporting device data.

As described above, although **Obradovich** discloses that the GPS stamp and image are provided to the central computer storage system, **Obradovich** fails to disclose “transmitting means” of claim 1 of the instant application. According to the PCD of **Obradovich**, the GPS stamp and image are only provided to the central computer storage system, and the GPS stamp and image are not transmitted to a communication terminal device having inputted identifying information. Accordingly, a user carrying the PCD cannot notify a party whom the user is

scheduled to meet of the present location of the user. The party needs to request data from the central computer storage system to ascertain the present location of the user.

The limitations of claim 3 have been added to claim 1 to clarify this distinction.

Also, column 24, lines 3-15 of Obradovich disclose a technique for obtaining information from a telephone number of a cell site, but this passage does not disclose the “transmitting means” of claim 1.

The Examiner has also urged that Fig. 11, column 11, lines 53-57 and column 12, lines 1-19 disclose that two displays are included which show the detected location information on one display and a photographed image taken by a digital camera on the other display.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Fig. 11 shows two displays of a GPS street map, but does not show a photographed image taken by an image pickup device on either one of the displays, as recited in claim 6 of the instant application.

In particular, the two displays 272, 273 in Obradovich show a user’s location on a GPS map on one display and a third party’s map and location on the other display, and, therefore, fail to disclose location information on one display and a photographed image on the other display, as recited in claim 6 of the instant application.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, claims 1-2 and 4-9, as amended, are in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/020,948
Response to Office Action dated January 26, 2005

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS,
HANSON & BROOKS, LLP



William L. Brooks
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 34,129

WLB/nrp
Atty. Docket No. 011728
Suite 1000
1725 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 659-2930



23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE